

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,747	03/22/2005	Robert H Shoemaker	015280-462100US	1785
45115 TOWNSEND	7590 07/26/2007 AND TOWNSEND AND (EXAMINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			SNYDER, STUART	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
37.1. 7.12 11.70			1648	
				•
.*	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/528,747	SHOEMAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stuart W. Snyder	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MAILING DESCRIPTIO	OATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 L	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December 2006</u> .					
,						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/528,747

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

New Examiner

 The Examiner of you Application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further conrespondence regarding this Application should be directed to Art Unit 1648, Examiner Stuart W. Snyder.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election **without** traverse of Group I, Claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 12/11/2006 is acknowledged. However, upon reconsideration, futher restriction is required—see below.

Group I, claims 1-17, drawn to a method of inhibiting replication of a virus comprising contacting a nucleocapsid protein of th evirus with a compound having the structure defined in the claims.

Group II, claims 18-19, drawn to a compound having th structure defined in the claims.

 Groups I and II both contain claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. Compounds of the general structure of Claim 1; antimony-containing substituted phenyl compounds and

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/528,747

Art Unit: 1648

B. Compounds of the general structure of Claim 3 having 2 substituted

phenyl rings separated by C=X Species.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The

reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all

claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an

election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise

include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37

CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which

are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Species A: Claims 1-2

Species B: Claims 3-6

The following claim(s) are generic: 7-19.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same

or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature that is shared between Species A and B is the phenyl

chemical group. In the instant Application, the structure defined for the compound

of Species A is an antimony-containing compound that also comprises a phenyl

Art Unit: 1648

group; whereas, the compound of Species B is compound comprising a diphenyl group. Hence, the technical feature that is shared between the inventions listed as Species A and B is the phenyl group. However, this technical feature does not provide a contribution over the prior art, as evidenced by Kuriyama *et al.*Kuriyama *et al.* teaches an antimony containing compound that also comprises a phenyl group, [Line 50, column 4]. In the absence of a contribution over the prior art, the technical feature shared between the inventions of Species A and B is not a shared special technical feature. Without a shared special technical feature, the inventions listed as Species A and B lack unity with one another.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the Claims encompassing the elected species. The election of a species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,747 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart W. Snyder whose telephone number is (571) 272-9945. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,747 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stuart W Snyder Examiner Art Unit 1648

SWS

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600